



Party Uber Alles

BY: Mindy Rader, Rockland Post Contributor



August 4, 2026

When the Founding Fathers decided to set up a Republican type of government, they had many options. They could have set up a Parliamentary type of democracy which was a model that they would have seen in England, and they could have installed a king. But they didn't. Instead, they set up a bicameral legislative process with a senate and a house of representatives. The theory was that the Senate with equal votes from all states would represent regional interests and ensure that one region did not overpower another. The House was formed with the theory that people would represent the interests of their constituents in their district. This differed from a Parliamentary democracy where you represented not to represent the interests of a district but rather a party and then that party would then choose a Prime Minister. And everybody would have to be in unity for government to function.

Well today's Democrats and Democrat Socialists abhor this system. The Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) want to go as far as abolishing the Senate. They don't believe that a Republican form of government which guarantees rights to each individual state is fair. They believe in the will of the mob. And the mob must be group think. And that is what we see in the Democratic party today. When is the last time that somebody who is a Democrat voted outside the party line? Almost never. John Fetterman may be the exception, and they would probably love to get rid of him. Even Democrats who espouse to be moderates and represent their districts vote with the party even when they were running, they didn't espouse these principles. Look at Representative Ryan in Orange County who voted against aid to Israel in a district that has many Jewish constituents. Shumer is silenced on any issue he has supported in the past because he is afraid of being primaried from the left. These people abandoned their principles for the sake of power. On the other hand, Republicans like Lawler, Murkowsky, and Collins vote their conscience very often. People like Lawler represent their constituents. Look at his fight for the SALT tax deduction. Most Republicans were opposed to that. But he stood up on principle. That is what a representative is supposed to do and shows the difference between parliamentary government and our system of government.

Many politicians run as moderates. They try to fool you. And when they get elected, they adopt radical positions that are an enanthema to majority of our citizens. Voting does have consequences. When a state like NY or NJ elects only super majorities of democrats, you get stupid laws that we hate. The new patio light law which is soon to be implemented will be a boon for criminals. The elimination of grocery bags has led to nothing but an upcharge every time you shop to pay for a bag or force you to bring your own shoddy bags. And no study has shown this has improved the environment in any way. Electric car mandates failed to improve the environment because they still use coal and gas and rare earth minerals in their production or charging. Banning gas appliances in favor of electric when the grid can't even support what we have now because we fear mongered over nuclear power which was safe and cheap. And now the grid is in danger of collapsing unless we build new coal and gas fired plants. This happens when one party is in control and do not vote their conscience. We used to have democrats in this state who countered the radical movement. Look at, Klein from the Bronx and Carlucci from Rockland who were just two of those who voted their conscience and often voted the interest of their constituents rather than the interests of their party. And what happened? Party uber Alles, and they were gone.

Elections have consequences. Hitler wrote Mein Kampf which outlined exactly what he was going to do. The DSA has written a platform which they intend to follow. And the majority of democrats will support it eventually because they are afraid of being primaried and losing their jobs. Eliminate the Senate is one of their goals. Eliminate checks and balances and form a parliamentary government is their goal. Have a non-independent Judiciary. They basically want a one-party system with their party in charge. That democrats such as Nancy Pelosi have gone along because she does not want to lose her ability to do insider trading and becoming a gazillionaire. And many democrats who are run of the mill citizens and not involved in day-to-day party activities still think the party is the party of Roosevelt and Jack Kennedy. Well, it's not. And they are too uninformed to realize it. We must face it, not everybody wants to spend the time to learn. On the other hand, the President creates such animosity that people who vote will vote against his party because they don't like his personality. When his policies for the most part are good, why does that make any sense? You have to sometimes hold your nose and vote for what's right and for representatives and senators on a local, state and federal level who will represent your interests and not the interests of a party.

Freedom is fragile. We see a rise in antisemitism and hatred, largely from the fringes of each party. We must go back to a centrist point of view where don't serve in government to enrich themselves but serve to represent the interests of their constituents. That's what government of the people by the people and for the people is all about. It's not government of the party for the party. Government for the people is what we want and deserve. And don't be fooled by candidates who claim to be middle of the road and then vote with the radicals. This country is in at an inflection point, and our very freedom is at stake. And each time we go into the ballot box, consider who is representing you and your interests and your values. And look past what they are saying now. Look at their past statements, posts, videos and actions. That is their Mein Kampf.