



## Davidson's claims about protecting children fall short...

BY: Keith S. Shikowitz, Editor in Chief/Investigative Reporter



Beth Davidson at a press conference on February 2, 2026, in the Rockland County Legislative Chamber said that the so called "Rockland County sanctuary law" was there to protect the children. There are people who said this was to protect the children of people who came here illegally, not children of American citizens. According to people, proof of this her following statement about absenteeism in schools in Rockland County.

She said, "One additional note I would have is that you all again, excellent journalists have documented that businesses have suffered that schools have seen chronic absenteeism. These are schools that took about 10% absenteeism every day, which they credit to fear of ICE active.

When she opened the meeting to press questions, I asked her, "You talk about protecting children and I'm a former teacher, so I understand about protecting children. I've got children of my own, but you talk about protecting children from the family being broken up and stuff who's protecting Jocelyn Nungary, who you guys don't mention, and the other people, the American citizens that were killed by the illegals that came in that were criminals and released into the country. You have American citizens being killed by illegals, and you're worried about protecting the illegals."

"Thank you so much for your question. I also am a mother, and I was a school board member for two terms. I will put my record protecting children up against anyone and as we've now said twice, many times actually, this bill does not preclude any criminal activity from being investigated."

She then tried to change the narrative

from protecting children to ICE actions

"I will remind us that 2 American citizens have been killed by ICE for a total number of how many? In the last year, she turned to someone behind her right shoulder and said 32? 32 Americans were killed by ICE.

I responded, "What about the 67 people who were killed by ICE Agents when Obama was President?"

Her answer was to shut down the press part of the event without answering the question... by stating, "Any other questions? Any other questions? Thank you all so much for coming today. I appreciate it."

[https://youtu.be/LsAe\\_rolS3s](https://youtu.be/LsAe_rolS3s)

For all of her protestations about protecting children, it has come to light that she once championed a policy that allowed children to secretly coordinate with their school about their transgender status. She bragged about protecting children when she was on the Nyack Public School Board but yet she helped pass its first policy on transgenderism, something she's publicly hyped.

The policy calls for school staff to set up an optional but confidential "Gender Support Plan," where a point person helps the student ensure that their "asserted gender identity will be accounted for and supported at school." This means that parents are not being informed about their children's purported gender identity issues.

"How does this protect children? All it does is enable schools to do things to children which may be against what their parents want for them and against their religious beliefs." JR asked.

A form for the "Gender Support Plan" asks whether "guardian(s) of this student aware and supportive of their child's gender transition" and "what considerations must be accounted for" if they aren't aware or supportive.

"The goal in all cases is to strive for consensus between parents/guardians and the student as to the recognition of the student's gender identity by the District," the policy notes.

Transgender students are also allowed to have their gender status withheld from "other students or parents, or other third parties."

That last statement contradicts the first part of the stated goal of the plan. How can the plan strive for consensus between parents/guardians and the student and still have their gender status withheld from other students or parents or other third parties?

They are also given other rights such as "access to and use the locker room that corresponds to their gender identity asserted at school," per the school district's policy.

How does this protect children when you can have biological males going into a girl's locker room? Especially after all of the incidents we have heard about around the country where girls are uncomfortable and have been attacked.

"Upon request, transgender, non-binary, and gender non-conforming students shall be provided access to a reasonable alternative locker room/changing area," the policy further states.

There are three questions that this raises... Upon whose request? Where would a reasonable alternative locker room be? How about the one that he/she should use based on their birth gender.

Access to sports teams is determined on a "case-by-case basis" under the guidance of state law.

Davidson has been outspoken in the past on transgender issues. In 2023, for example, she ripped into House Republicans for passing a National Defense Authorization Act that put restrictions on coverage for service members seeking transgender-related healthcare.

The bottom line to this is that boys are biologically stronger and bulkier than girls and the affect of this has been seen on television when a girl who was on her way to scholarships for volleyball was hit in the face by a ball spiked by a large boy and has had many issues, physical, mental and emotional since. Let's also look at the female boxer in the 2024 Paris Olympics... She said she had never been hit that hard in her life. She dropped out of the competition." The IOC has changed its policy about biological males in female sports... their answer is NO.

"I'm proud of my work to support every student and family in Nyack Public Schools while ensuring the district's policies aligned with New York State law," Davidson told The Post when asked about the school district's policy.

"Radical Democrat Beth Davidson's creepy agenda is a threat to the safety and well-being of schoolchildren across the Hudson Valley. New Yorkers cannot afford to have her in Congress," National Republican Congressional Committee (NRCC) Spokeswoman Maureen O'Toole told The Post.